

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/798,111	03/10/2004	Dario Norberto R. Carrara	88066-7900	5916	
28765 7590 06/04/2007 WINSTON & STRAWN LLP			EXAMINER		
PATENT DEP		GEORGE, KONATA M			
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/04/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
		10/798,11	1	CARRARA ET AL.				
		Examiner	_	Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Konata M.		1616				
Period fo		pears on the	cover sneet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutively received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF TH 136(a). In no eve will apply and will be, cause the appli	IIS COMMUNICATION int, however, may a reply be ting a septy be	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2007.							
2a)□	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-47 and 56-60</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-47 and 56-60 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
-								
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.						
10)🛛	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen				(070,440)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/798,111

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-47 and 56-60 are pending in this application.

Action Summary

1. The examiner acknowledges the cancellation of claims 48-55. Therefore, any and all objections and/or rejections directed toward them are hereby withdrawn.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-28, 30-47 and 56-59 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-26 of U.S. Patent No. 7,198,801 in view of Ellisen et al. US 5,922,349. Although the conflicting

Application/Control Number: 10/798,111

Art Unit: 1616

ication/Control Number. 10/196,11

claims are not identical they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant invention and the patent are directed towards a transdermal administration of an active agent, a delivery agent, and a permeation enhancer, wherein the formulation is substantially free of long-chain fatty acids, long-chain alcohols and long-chain esters. The '801 patent does not teach use the composition in hormonal replacement therapy. Ellisen et al. discloses a hormone replacement therapy method and a hormone dispenser. Example 1, column 21, lines 6-38 teach a composition comprising an estrogen administered to a patient suffering from menopausal symptoms. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to look to the teachings of Ellisen which teaches the administration of estrogen to patient suffering from hormonal disorders.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000.

Application/Control Number: 10/798,111

Art Unit: 1616

Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

3. Claims 1-16, 20, 21, 27-36, 56-58 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by over Lulla et al. (US 2004/0213744).

Lulla et al. discloses topical composition comprising at least one medicament, film former, solubilizer, permeation enhancer and a plasticizer and its concentrations (paragraph [0023]). Paragraph [0028] teaches examples of the medicinal compounds that can be used of which hormonal steroids are taught. Preferred solubilizers can be polyhydric alcohols such as propylene glycol or polyethylene glycol (paragraph [0032]). The permeation enhancer can be diethylene glycol monoethyl ether (paragraph [0034]). Paragraph [0035] teaches a vehicle system comprising water, isopropyl alcohol or mixtures thereof. Paragraph [0042] teaches an aerosol pump dispenser can be used in administering the composition. Examples 3, 4 and 10-12 teach compositions comprising the hormone estradiol. It is the position of the examiner that since the active agent of these examples are hormones, one of ordinary skill in the art could conclude that the compositions are being used in hormonal replacement therapy.

Conclusion

4. Claims 1-47 and 56-60 are rejected.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 10/798,111

Art Unit: 1616

Telephone Inquiries

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Konata M. George, whose telephone number is 571-

272-0613. The examiner can normally be reached from 8AM to 6:30PM Monday to

Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Johann Richter, can be reached at 571-272-0646. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have question on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Konata M. George

Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600

onann Richter

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Technology Center 1600